

**Small School District Council Consortium**  
**Funding Priorities for the 2013 Legislative Session**

**Support Governor Scott's Request for the Sparsity Supplement - \$45,754,378**

*Governor Scott is requesting an increase in Sparsity Funding - \$45,754,378.*

Current funding level for Sparsity formula - \$35,754,378

*The SSDCC supports Governor Scott's request for Sparsity funding.*

**Support Funding Request for the Regional Consortia Service Program - \$1,445,390**

*Governor Scott is requesting funding for the Regional Ed. Consortia Program at - \$1,445,390.* The

Regional Consortia Program has been an instrumental asset for training, technical assistance and the implementation of complex education initiatives in the small and rural districts.

*The SSDCC supports Governor Scott's request for the Regional Consortia Program.*

**Support Increased Funding for School Transportation**

*Governor Scott is requesting funding in the amount of \$439,588,015.*

State funding for school transportation in 2012-13 equaled \$420,264,335. This amount is \$63 million less than the \$483,592,820 appropriated in 2007-08.

*The Small School District Council Consortium requests the Legislature to increase funding for School Transportation.*

**Support Funding for the Special Facility Construction Account Program**

*Governor Scott is requesting funding of the recommended projects over a multi-year period.* The

Florida Department of Education's Special Facility Construction Committee recommendations:

- |                                  |             |              |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| 1. New Dixie County Middle/High  | Grades 6-12 | \$49,961,785 |
| 2. New Glades County Middle/High | Grades 6-12 | \$23,612,738 |

*The SSDCC supports funding for these projects.*

**Education Technology**

*Governor Scott is requesting funding for Educational Technology.*

The SSDCC requests the distribution of technology funding should be based on an approach that closes identified technology gaps, enabling all districts the opportunity to meet the state technology objectives.

**Ad Valorem Millage**

The SSDCC recommends continued utilization of Equalization and Compression of local ad valorem revenues where the funding generated less than the statewide average.

The SSDCC supports restoration of the Local Millage options previously authorized pursuant to F.S. 1011.71.

**Public Education Capital Outlay Funding**

The SSDCC requests the restoration of .5 mills for Local Capital Outlay Millage authority. (F.S. 1011.71(2)). In addition, the SSDCC requests the following:

- State and Local Capital Outlay funding programs should ensure funding for identified construction needs of the school districts.
- Local Capital Outlay revenues generated pursuant to F.S. 1011.71 should continue to be distributed in accordance with Local School Board authority.
- Funding for other entities should be addressed, over and above, the identified needs of the school districts.
- All recipients of state or local capital outlay dollars should be evaluated and prioritized along with all other public school facility projects.

## Millage Authority Compared from 06-07 through 12-13

	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13
<b>Required Local Effort</b>	<b>5.01</b>	<b>4.843</b>	<b>5.136</b> (.25 shifted from 2.0 Capital Outlay)	<b>5.288</b>	<b>5.380</b>	<b>5.446</b> F.S. 1011.62(4)	<b>5.446</b> F.S. 1011.62(4)
<b>Maximum DLE</b> – Compression provided up to State Wide Average	<b>.51</b>	<b>.51</b>	<b>.498</b>	<b>.748</b> (Includes a shift of .25 from Capital Outlay)	<b>.748</b> Compressed to statewide average up to .498 levy	<b>.748</b> F.S. 1011.71(1)	<b>.748</b> F.S. 1011.71(1)
<b>Additional Discretionary Local Effort</b> If per student millage generates less than \$100 – the district received funding to Provide Up to \$100 per FTE	<b>.25</b>	<b>.25</b>	<b>.25</b>	<b>.0</b> (Note - .25 previously authorized – shifted to RLE. RLE reflects increase of .178 due to statewide value of .25 without compression)	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Super Majority Board Approved Millage –No Cap on Value Generated.</b> (compressed provide up to State Wide Average per FTE)	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>.25</b> 1. Levied by Super Majority Vote of Board 2. Can be used in either Operational or Capital Outlay. 3. If used in Capital Outlay – the district does not receive Compression to State Wide Average if value of .25 is less than State Wide Average).	<b>.25</b> 1. Levied by Super Majority Vote of Board 2. Must have referendum to continue into 11-12. 3. Can be used in either Operational or Capital Outlay. 4. If used in Capital Outlay – the district does not receive Compression to State Wide Average if value of .25 is less than State Wide Average).	<b>0</b> 1. Only School Districts where the .25 was approved by Referendum in Nov. of 2010 may continue to levy the .25 millage for 11-12 and 12-13. F.S. 1011.71(3)	<b>0</b> 1. Only School Districts where the .25 was approved by Referendum in Nov. of 2010 may continue to levy the .25 millage for 11-12 and 12-13. F.S. 1011.71(3)
<b>Total Millage in FEFP</b>	<b>5.77</b>	<b>5.603</b>	<b>5.884</b>	<b>6.286</b>	<b>6.378</b>	<b>6.294</b>	<b>6.294</b>
<b>Capital Outlay Millage Authority</b> F.S. 1011.71(2)	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.75</b> (.25 shifted to RLE)	<b>1.50</b> (.25 shifted to DLE)	<b>1.50</b>	<b>1.50</b> F.S. 1011.71(2)	<b>1.50</b> F.S. 1011.71(2)
<b>Special Facility Districts Required Payback</b> (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a)) <b>from Capital Outlay Millage</b> (F.S. 1011.71(2))	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b> (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a))	<b>1.5</b> (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a)) Payback Provision Modified for Wakulla, Liberty, and Calhoun by F.S. 1013.64(7)	<b>1.5</b> (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a))	<b>1.5</b> (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a))	<b>1.5</b> (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a))

## Value of School Ad Valorem Millage for 1.5 mills

Statewide Total Value of 1.5 Mill	\$ 2,075,439,212
-----------------------------------	------------------

School District	Value of 1.5 Mill Levy	School District	Value of 1.5 Mill Levy
Miami-Dade	299,076,742	Santa Rosa	12,453,185
Broward	202,691,449	Flagler	11,006,889
Palm Beach	198,671,398	Sumter	10,994,913
Orange	129,517,303	Nassau	10,582,277
Hillsborough	101,348,903	Highlands	7,700,266
Collier	90,419,112	Putnam	5,669,504
Pinellas	90,280,824	Columbia	3,938,145
Lee	85,096,730	Franklin	2,932,875
Duval	82,244,009	Levy	2,847,261
Sarasota	63,024,612	Hendry	2,682,607
Brevard	41,783,079	Okeechobee	2,532,901
Volusia	40,289,661	Suwannee	2,409,233
Polk	39,915,774	Jackson	2,393,501
Seminole	39,612,057	Hardee	2,346,184
Manatee	38,096,886	DeSoto	2,295,507
Pasco	33,703,004	Gulf	2,273,114
Monroe	29,185,207	Gadsden	2,242,789
St. Johns	28,116,847	Wakulla	1,874,939
Martin	27,167,304	Taylor	1,868,785
Osceola	26,629,242	Bradford	1,381,413
Lake	26,082,305	Washington	1,380,964
Marion	24,757,407	Baker	1,317,294
St. Lucie	24,073,730	Hamilton	1,123,618
Bay	23,125,059	Madison	1,001,709
Escambia	22,992,435	Gilchrist	983,327
Leon	22,936,683	Jefferson	880,327
Okaloosa	22,195,260	Glades	880,150
Indian River	21,015,634	Dixie	752,776
Charlotte	20,152,324	Holmes	695,700
Alachua	19,082,428	Calhoun	608,397
Walton	16,814,661	Union	374,517
Citrus	15,132,350	Liberty	371,115
Clay	13,855,996	Lafayette	357,209
Hernando	13,199,405		

## Value of School Ad Valorem Millage for .5 mills

<b>Statewide Total Value of .5 Mill</b>	<b>\$691,813,071</b>
---	----------------------

School District	Value of .5 Mill Levy	School District	Value of .5 Mill Levy
Miami-Dade	99,692,247	Santa Rosa	4,151,062
Broward	67,563,816	Flagler	3,668,963
Palm Beach	66,223,799	Sumter	3,664,971
Orange	43,172,434	Nassau	3,527,426
Hillsborough	33,782,968	Highlands	2,566,755
Collier	30,139,704	Putnam	1,889,835
Pinellas	30,093,608	Columbia	1,312,715
Lee	28,365,577	Franklin	977,625
Duval	27,414,670	Levy	949,087
Sarasota	21,008,204	Hendry	894,202
Brevard	13,927,693	Okeechobee	844,300
Volusia	13,429,887	Suwannee	803,078
Polk	13,305,258	Jackson	797,834
Seminole	13,204,019	Hardee	782,061
Manatee	12,698,962	DeSoto	765,169
Pasco	11,234,335	Gulf	757,705
Monroe	9,728,402	Gadsden	747,596
St. Johns	9,372,282	Wakulla	624,980
Martin	9,055,768	Taylor	622,928
Osceola	8,876,414	Bradford	460,471
Lake	8,694,102	Washington	460,321
Marion	8,252,469	Baker	439,098
St. Lucie	8,024,577	Hamilton	374,539
Bay	7,708,353	Madison	333,903
Escambia	7,664,145	Gilchrist	327,776
Leon	7,645,561	Jefferson	293,442
Okaloosa	7,398,420	Glades	293,383
Indian River	7,005,211	Dixie	250,925
Charlotte	6,717,441	Holmes	231,900
Alachua	6,360,809	Calhoun	202,799
Walton	5,604,887	Union	124,839
Citrus	5,044,117	Liberty	123,705
Clay	4,618,665	Lafayette	119,070
Hernando	4,399,802		

## Governor Scott Recommends \$10,000,000 Sparsity Funding Increase

Sparsity District	District Senate Member	District House Member	Current Sparsity Funding <i>1<sup>st</sup> Calculation</i>	Sparsity Funds Recommended by Governor	Difference
Baker	Dean	Porter	522,806	695,413	+172,607
Bradford	Bradley	Van Zant	750,888	972,419	+221,531
Calhoun	Montford	Beshears	1,222,800	1,559,778	+336,978
Citrus	Dean	J. Smith	991,536	541,614	-449,922
Columbia	Dean	Porter	1,049,841	1,349,485	+299,644
DeSoto	Galvano	Albritton	552,792	722,551	+169,759
Dixie	Dean	Perry	765,969	1,004,411	+238,442
Flagler	Thrasher	T. Hutson	1,029,940	1,286,373	+256,433
Franklin	Montford	Beshears	0	40,478	+40,478
Gadsden	Montford	A. Williams	1,537,537	2,005,647	+468,110
Gilchrist	Dean	Perry	1,340,883	1719,611	+378,728
Glades	Galvano	Pigman	703,903	909,726	+205,823
Gulf	Montford	Beshears	782,868	1,111,954	+329,086
Hamilton	Montford	Porter	726,743	941,286	+214,543
Hardee	Galvano	Albritton	478,529	614,591	+136,062
Hendry	Bullard	M. Hudson	1,460,975	1,912,097	+451,122
Highlands	Grimsley, Galvano	Pigman	1,988,087	2,578,178	+590,091
Holmes	Gaetz	Coley	1,763,077	2,279,587	+516,510
Jackson	Gaetz	Coley	2,368,183	3,080,593	+712,410
Jefferson	Montford	Beshears	492,195	632,229	+140,034
Lafayette	Dean	Beshears	617,086	809,982	+192,896
Levy	Dean	Stone	2,262,985	2,947,349	+684,364
Liberty	Montford	Beshears	726,274	924,624	+198,350
Madison	Montford	Beshears	760,886	1,000,940	+240,054
Nassau	Bean	Adkins	1,512,458	2,053,038	+540,580
Okeechobee	Grimsley	Pigman	520,968	638,357	+117,389
Putnam	Thrasher	Van Zant	2,123,940	2,790,390	+666,450
Sumter	Hays, Simpson	O'Toole	79,216	0	-79,216
Suwannee	Dean	Porter	1,504,041	1,970,258	+466,217
Taylor	Montford	Beshears	772,065	1,005,735	+233,670
Union	Dean	Van Zant	761,214	997,401	+236,187
Wakulla	Montford	Beshears	493,173	649,857	+156,684
Washington	Gaetz	Coley	1,455,543	1,901,553	+446,010
FAMU Lab			303,654	356,090	+52,436
FSU Lab			720,678	926,397	+205,719
UF Lab			610,865	790,280	+179,415
			35,754,378	45,754,378	10,000,000

# A Review of FEFP Funding

## from 99-00 through for 12-13

### Including DOE/SBE Legislative Budget Request for 13-14

(1) Year	(2) State funding within the FEFP	(3) Local Funding within the FEFP	(4) Federal Funding within the FEFP	(5) Total Funding
99-00	\$6,918,358,522	\$4,269,553,580	NA	\$11,187,912,102
00-01	\$7,401,942,945	\$4,543,526,312	NA	\$11,945,469,257
01-02	\$7,327,271,855	\$4,882,671,612	NA	\$12,209,943,467
02-03	\$7,695,808,666	\$5,338,377,065	NA	\$13,034,185,731
03-04	\$8,260,409,846	\$5,776,691,992	NA	\$14,037,101,838
04-05	\$8,766,990,479	\$6,260,556,290	NA	\$15,027,546,769
05-06	\$9,125,618,593	\$7,129,622,543	NA	\$16,255,241,136
06-07	\$9,695,029,452	\$8,370,937,262	NA	\$17,989,051,919
07-08	\$9,709,211,811	\$9,042,132,786	NA	<b>\$18,751,344,667</b>
08-09	\$8,556,433,157	\$9,363,451,861	NA	\$17,919,885,018
09-10	\$8,079,525,471	\$9,002,863,782	\$907,920,175	\$17,990,309,428
10-11	\$8,903,193,397	\$8,216,789,058	\$872,664,689	\$17,992,647,144
11-12	\$8,709,379,680	\$7,872,211,416	0	\$16,581,591,096
12-13	\$9,553,273,823	\$7,647,719,954	0	\$17,200,993,777
13-14A	\$9,875,268,996	\$7,701,263,050	0	\$17,576,532,046
13-14B	\$10,709,745,802	\$7,763,002,263	0	\$18,472,748,065

(6) Year	(7) % of State Funding in FEFP	(8) % of local Funding in FEFP	(9) % of Federal \$ in FEFP	(10) Base Student Allocation	(11) Number of UFTE	(12) Total Funding Per UFTE
99-00	61.84	38.16	-	\$3,227.74	2,328,851.08	\$4,804.05
00-01	61.96	38.04	-	\$3,416.73	2,388,755.80	\$5,000.71
01-02	60.01	39.99	-	\$3,298.48	2,453,549.71	\$4,976.44
02-03	59.04	40.96	-	\$3,537.11	2,497,968.51	\$5,217.92
03-04	58.86	41.15	-	\$3,630.03	2,557,438.44	\$5,488.73
04-05	58.34	41.66	-	\$3,670.26	2,609,593.94	\$5,758.57
05-06	<b>56.14</b>	<b>43.86</b>	-	<b>\$3,742.42</b>	2,641,121.29	\$6,154.68
06-07	53.47	46.53	-	\$3,981.61	2,638,331.10	<b>\$6,818.35</b>
07-08	51.78	48.22	-	\$4,079.74	2,631,277.10	\$7,126.32
08-09	47.72	52.28	-	\$3,886.14	2,618,006.46	\$6,844.86
09-10	44.90	50.05	5.05	\$3,630.62	2,629,282.97	\$6,842.29
10-11	49.33	45.86	4.82	\$3,623.76	2,642,091.49	\$6,810.10
11-12	52.53	47.66	0	\$3,479.22	2,663,743.54	\$6,224.92
12-13	55.53	44.47	0	\$3,582.98	2,694,617.29	\$6,383.46
13-14A	56.18	43.82	0	\$3,582.98	2,723,363.85	\$6,453.98
13-14B	57.98	42.02	0	\$3,719.76	2,716,978.54	\$6,799.00

A - DOE/SBE Legislative Budget Request – October 9, 2012

B – Governor Scott’s Budget Request for 2013-14.