

**THE
SMALL SCHOOL DISTRICT
COUNCIL CONSORTIUM**

*A Statewide Legislative Network of
Small School Districts*

-Founded 1983-

**Legislative Priorities
For the 2014 Regular Session
of the Florida Legislature**

**Adopted
December 5, 2013**

Legislators Representing Small School Districts

(Districts with 24,000 Students or less)

Senate Districts

15 Senate Districts Include Small School Districts

Senate District 1 – Don Gaetz	Holmes, Jackson, Walton, and Washington
Senate District 3 – Bill Montford	Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Hamilton, Jefferson, Liberty, Madison, Taylor, and Wakulla
Senate District 4 – Aaron Bean	Nassau
Senate District 5 – Charlie Dean	Baker, Citrus, Columbia, Dixie, Gilchrist, Lafayette, Levy, Suwannee, and Union
Senate District 6 – John Thrasher	Flagler, and Putnam
Senate District 7 – Rob Bradley	Bradford
Senate District 11 – Alan Hays	Sumter
Senate District 16 – Thad Altman	Indian River
Senate District 18 – Wilton Simpson	Hernando and Sumter
Senate District 21 – Denise Grimsley	Highlands, Martin, and Okeechobee
Senate District 26 – Bill Galvano	Charlotte, DeSoto, Glades, Hardee, and Highlands
Senate District 28 – Nancy Detert	Charlotte
Senate District 30 – Lizbeth Benaquisto	Charlotte
Senate District 32 – Joe Negron	Indian River, and Martin
Senate District 39 – Dwight Bullard	Hendry and Monroe

House Districts

18 House Districts Include Small School Districts

House District 5 – Marti Coley	Holmes, Jackson, Walton, and Washington
House District 7 – Halsey Beshears	Calhoun, Franklin, Gulf, Jefferson, Lafayette, Liberty, Madison, Taylor, and Wakulla
House District 8 – Alan Williams	Gadsden
House District 10 – Elizabeth Porter	Baker, Columbia, Hamilton, and Suwannee
House District 11 – Janet Adkins	Nassau
House District 19 – Charles Van Zant	Bradford, Putnam, and Union
House District 21 – Keith Perry	Dixie, and Gilchrist
House District 22 – Charlie Stone	Levy
House District 24 – Travis Hutson	Flagler
House District 33 – Marlene O’Toole	Sumter
House District 34 – Jimmie Smith	Citrus and Hernando
House District 35 – Robert Schenck	Hernando
House District 54 – Debbie Mayfield	Indian River
House District 55 – Cary Pigman	Glades, Highlands, and Okeechobee
House District 56 – Ben Albritton	DeSoto, and Hardee
House District 80 – Matt Hudson	Hendry
House District 82 – Mary Lynn Magar	Martin
House District 120 – Holly Merrill Raschein Monroe	

Small School District Council Consortium

Background

Established in 1983, the Small School District Council Consortium is a statewide consortium of local elected school officials for the purpose of implementing a coordinated statewide effort by and for small school districts. The SSDCC's primary focus is to promote small district issues with the Florida Legislature, state elected-officials and state agency leadership.

The SSDCC is a membership organization that represents school districts with 24,000 students or less. Membership also includes State University Lab schools and regional educational consortia established in the statutes.

Each participating school district adopts a resolution and is involved in statewide activities through an adopted legislative program implemented on behalf of the membership.

The SSDCC elects officers and each member district is represented on the Board of Directors through the school superintendent and one school board member designated by the School Board of each member county.

SSDCC OFFICERS

Chairman Rick Shirley - Superintendent of Schools – Sumter County
Vice Chairman Paul Dyal – Superintendent of Schools – Taylor County

Executive Committee Members

Sherrie Raulerson - Superintendent of Schools - Baker County
Scott Bass – Superintendent of Schools - Glades County
Reginald James - Superintendent of Schools - Gadsden County
Tim Alexander – School Board Member - Dixie County
Paul Samuels – School Board Member – Hardee County
Jerry Taylor – School Board Member - Suwannee County
Charlotte Gardner – School Board Member - Jackson County

PRIMARY ISSUES FOR THE SSDCC

Full funding of the Sparsity Supplement
Regional Consortia Service Program Funding
Special Facility Construction Account Program Funding
Education Technology Modernization Support
Increased Funding for School Transportation
Public Education Capital Outlay Funding
Funding for Local Capital Outlay
Florida Retirement System
Statutory Flexibility
Maintaining Sovereign Immunity Limits
Support for Local Elected Officials and Local Control
Provide for Meaningful Local Involvement

The SSDCC is represented in Tallahassee by the Governmental Relations firm of Robert P. Jones & Associates. Chris Doolin and Bob Jones provide consulting services to the SSDCC in Tallahassee.

Introduction

The Florida Legislature recognizes the impact that being small and rural has on the delivery of quality education for its citizens.

- The low tax base impacts funds available for instruction and capital outlay needs;
- Access to Technology and High-Speed Internet are limited, or non-existent, in certain areas;
- Implementation of new and complex state and federal initiatives – like Common Core Standards and STEM is extremely challenging because of the few district-level staff available to manage the tasks required;
- Transportation costs are increased in sparsely populated areas; and,
- Grants are harder to obtain in smaller districts.

Over the years, the Florida Legislature has responded with several initiatives to assist small and rural districts overcome these challenges.

- Compression and Equalization of millage provisions is provided within the Florida Education Funding Program;
- Sparsity funding is provided to offset to economy of scale issues;
- Regional Consortium Service Organization funding has assisted with important training and program assistance to small, rural districts; and
- Special Facility Construction funding to address construction projects where districts demonstrate critical need and insufficient revenues.

The SSDCC is appreciative of the Legislative support and submits the following requests in an effort to assist small and rural districts over-come challenges and provide for a quality education.

SSDCC Priorities for the 2014 Legislative Session

Support Full funding of the Sparsity Supplement – Request \$46,336,762 - The Sparsity Supplement is appropriated as part of the FEFP to be allocated to districts with 20,000 or fewer FTE students pursuant to Section 1011.62(7), Florida Statutes. The formula recognizes the relatively higher operating cost of smaller districts due to sparse student population. For FY 2014-15, the total amount appropriated for the Sparsity Supplement was \$45,754,378. An additional \$582,384 is requested to fully fund the Sparsity Supplement allocation after the application of the wealth adjustment. Prior to 2013-14, the appropriation was insufficient to fully fund the calculation and it was necessary to prorate the calculated Sparsity Supplement down to the level of the appropriation. The Sparsity Supplement is the most important FEFP provision regarding specific targeted funding to small districts.

2013-14 funding level for Sparsity formula -	\$45,754,378
Full funding of Wealth Adjusted Sparsity formula in FEFP -	\$46,336,762

Funding for the Regional Consortia Service Program – F.S. 1001.451 – The Regional Consortia Program has been an instrumental structure for training, technical assistance and the implementation of complex education initiatives in the small and rural districts. The Regional Consortia are a critical tool in the successful implementation of Common Core Requirements; End of Course Exams; and STEM programs. The three regional consortia work closely with representatives of the Department of Education to assure alignment with DOE priorities and State of Florida Education priorities.

Each regional consortium service organization that consists of four or more school districts is eligible to receive, through the Department of Education, an incentive grant of \$50,000 per school district and eligible member to be used for the delivery of services within the participating school districts. The membership of the three consortia totals 35.

Funding for the Special Facility Construction Account Program - FS 1013.64 - The Special Facility Construction Account Program is a critical tool in providing quality education in Florida. Eligible projects that meet all statutory and administrative criteria should be funded as recommended by the State of Florida – Department of Education - Special Facility Construction Committee. The Small School District Council Consortium supports the Special Facility Construction Committee recommendations regarding critical need findings and supports funding for the critical need projects. The Legislature is requested to appropriate funding for the projects recommended by the Special Facilities Construction Account Committee in 2012 and 2013.

Recommended by the 2012 Special Facility Construction Account Committee

- Dixie – New Middle/High – Grades 6-12
- Glades – New Middle/High – Grades 6-12

Recommended by the 2013 Special Facility Construction Account Committee

- Washington – New Elementary – Grades K-5
- Madison – Renovation of Existing High – Grades 9-12
- Levy – New Middle/High – Grades 6-12
- Calhoun – New Elementary/Middle School – Grades K-8
- Holmes – New Elementary/Middle – Grades K-8

Education Technology Modernization Initiative – The SSDCC recognizes the need for all public schools and school districts to have appropriate, adequate, efficient, and sustainable technology infrastructure, connectivity, and capacity to address, at a minimum, the requirements of the statewide standardized assessments associated with the State of Florida Educational Accountability program. The SSDCC supports funding provided to small school districts through the Technology Transformation Grants for Rural School Districts program, and other funding programs, that enable the establishment of wireless networks or enhancing an existing wireless network.

- The SSDCC supports a clear Technology Modernization Plan that is based on quantifiable data and identifies specific districts that are limited in access and capacity.
- The SSDCC supports additional funding being provided to Small School Districts that enable modernizing rural area technology and closing identified technology gaps.
- The SSDCC supports district collaboration with regional consortia in the identification and acquisition of required network infrastructure.
- The SSDCC supports the distribution of funding to the small districts based on demonstrated needs and clear plans for addressing enhanced technology.
- A small school district is defined as a district under 24,000 students.

Increased Funding for School Transportation - F.S. 1011.68. – The Small School District Council Consortium requests the Legislature to increase funding for School Transportation. State funding for school transportation in 2013-14 equaled \$422,674,570. This amount is \$61 million less than the \$483,592,820 appropriated in 2007-08. Insufficient transportation funding requires all school districts to subsidize local school transportation programs with revenues from their general funds. State funding for school transportation should be substantially increased.

Public Education Capital Outlay Funding - Public Education Capital Outlay funding sources have generated less revenue and traditional local funding sources have been reduced making funding for public school construction, maintenance, repair, renovation and remodeling a critical issue for the 2014 Legislative Session. The SSDCC requests the restoration of .5 mills for Local Capital Outlay Millage authority. (F.S. 1011.71(2)). In addition, the SSDCC requests the following:

- State and Local Capital Outlay funding programs should ensure funding for identified construction needs of the school districts.
- Local Capital Outlay revenues generated pursuant to F.S. 1011.71 should continue to be distributed in accordance with Local School Board authority.
- Funding for other entities should be addressed, over and above, the identified needs of the school districts.
- All recipients of state or local capital outlay dollars should be evaluated and prioritized along with all other public school facility projects.

Public Education Funding through the FEFP - Total funding within the FEFP for 2013-14 is \$18,277,633,493, almost \$500 million below total funding appropriated in 2007-08. The Florida Legislature is requested to fully fund all components of the FEFP and, at a minimum, appropriate funding sufficient to restore prior funding levels. In addition:

- New funding should be provided with maximum flexibility for local school districts to address local priorities.
- The Legislature should ensure FEFP Weighted Cost Factors represent actual program cost and restore the Declining Enrollment Supplement to 50%.

Ad Valorem Millage for Public Education - There are a number of components relating to utilization of millage authority that generate revenue for public education. The following statements are provided for guidance:

- The SSDCC recommends continued utilization of Equalization and Compression of local ad valorem revenues where the amount generated at the local level is less than the statewide average.
- The SSDCC requests the Protection of Local Millage Authority.
- The SSDCC requests the restoration of .5 mills for Local Capital Outlay Millage authority providing districts to levy 2.0 mills for Local Capital Outlay purposes. (F.S. 1011.71(2)).
- The SSDCC requests the restoration of the authority for school boards to levy, by simple majority vote and without a referendum, an additional .25 mills for critical operating or capital outlay needs, or both.
- The SSDCC requests that the Legislature provide funding for legislative decisions that reduce revenue generated from Local Millage Authority.

Florida Retirement System – The Florida Legislature is requested to maintain current provisions and benefits for employees and retirees participating in the program.

Statutory Flexibility - Avoiding “One Size Fits All” requirements that impact program development and implementation by including provisions allowing flexibility to accommodate local conditions

Sovereign Immunity Limits - Maintain Sovereign Immunity Limits and the current claims process.

Support for Local Elected Officials and Local Control - Support the authority of Local Elected Officials; Oppose unfunded mandates or other proposals that would cause undue burden or negatively impact local elected officials; and, maintain local control on matters including, but not limited to, school starting times and school calendar.

Dual Enrollment Funding - Support the repeal of the requirement that school districts pay college tuition fees and request that school districts be fully funded for the cost to provide dual enrollment programs. Support additional options for school districts to select dual enrollment options without requiring approval from regional program providers. The SSDCC supports the efforts of the Florida Association of District School Superintendents and the Florida School Boards Association to address district concerns with the current Dual Enrollment program.

Meaningful Local Involvement – Support state and regional agencies providing “Meaningful Local Involvement” when making decisions, establishing rules, and/or are developing agency actions that impact a local school district, a local community or an adjacent communities. This includes notifying local officials of pending agency actions; providing opportunity for local input; providing for a “full cost disclosure”; providing for a complete analysis of impacts to local communities; and provisions for local government to involved and express support or opposition to the proposed actions.

Support for FADSS and the FSBA

The members of the SSDCC participate in and are supportive of the Florida Association of District School Superintendents and the Florida School Boards Association. The members of the SSDCC support the Legislative Proposals of the FSBA and FADSS on issues of statewide significance.

A Review of FEFP Funding

from 99-00 through for 13-14

Including the Governors Request for 14-15

(1) Year	(2) State funding within the FEFP		(3) Local Funding within the FEFP	(4) Federal Funding within the FEFP	(5) Total Funding	
99-00	\$6,918,358,522		\$4,269,553,580	NA	\$11,187,912,102	
00-01	\$7,401,942,945		\$4,543,526,312	NA	\$11,945,469,257	
01-02	\$7,327,271,855		\$4,882,671,612	NA	\$12,209,943,467	
02-03	\$7,695,808,666		\$5,338,377,065	NA	\$13,034,185,731	
03-04	\$8,260,409,846		\$5,776,691,992	NA	\$14,037,101,838	
04-05	\$8,766,990,479		\$6,260,556,290	NA	\$15,027,546,769	
05-06	\$9,125,618,593		\$7,129,622,543	NA	\$16,255,241,136	
06-07	\$9,695,029,452		\$8,370,937,262	NA	\$17,989,051,919	
07-08	\$9,709,211,811		\$9,042,132,786	NA	\$18,751,344,667	
08-09	\$8,556,433,157		\$9,363,451,861	NA	\$17,919,885,018	
09-10	\$8,079,525,471		\$9,002,863,782	\$907,920,175	\$17,990,309,428	
10-11	\$8,903,193,397		\$8,216,789,058	\$872,664,689	\$17,992,647,144	
11-12	\$8,709,379,680		\$7,872,211,416	0	\$16,581,591,096	
12-13	\$9,544,792,796		\$7,678,389,743	0	\$17,223,182,539	
13-14	\$10,462,466,741		\$7,835,497,940	0	\$18,297,964,681	
14-15 – Governors Rec	\$10,629,784,460		\$8,210,234,003	0	\$18,840,018,463	
(6) Year	(7) % of State Funding in FEFP	(8) % of local Funding in FEFP	(9) % of Federal \$ in FEFP	(10) Base Student Allocation	(11) Number of UFTE	(12) Total Funding Per UFTE
99-00	61.84	38.16	-	\$3,227.74	2,328,851.08	\$4,804.05
00-01	61.96	38.04	-	\$3,416.73	2,388,755.80	\$5,000.71
01-02	60.01	39.99	-	\$3,298.48	2,453,549.71	\$4,976.44
02-03	59.04	40.96	-	\$3,537.11	2,497,968.51	\$5,217.92
03-04	58.86	41.15	-	\$3,630.03	2,557,438.44	\$5,488.73
04-05	58.34	41.66	-	\$3,670.26	2,609,593.94	\$5,758.57
05-06	56.14	43.86	-	\$3,742.42	2,641,121,.29	\$6,154.68
06-07	53.47	46.53	-	\$3,981.61	2,638,331.10	\$6,818.35
07-08	51.78	48.22	-	\$4,079.74	2,631,277.10	\$7,126.32
08-09	47.72	52.28	-	\$3,886.14	2,618,006.46	\$6,844.86
09-10	44.90	50.05	5.05	\$3,630.62	2,629,282.97	\$6,842.29
10-11	49.33	45.86	4.82	\$3,623.76	2,642,091.49	\$6,810.10
11-12	52.53	47.66	0	\$3,479.22	2,663,743.54	\$6,224.92
12-13	55.53	44.47	0	\$3,582.98	2,701,751.84	\$6,374.82
13-14	57.18	42.82	0	\$3,752.30	2,698,800.85	\$6,780.04
14-15 Governors Rec	56.42	43.58	0	\$3,854.00	2,711,330.26	\$6,948.63

Millage Authority from 06-07 through 13-14 Including the Govs. Rec. for 14-15

	06-07	07-08	08-09	09-10	10-11	11-12	12-13	13-14	14-15 Govs. Rec.
Required Local Effort F.S. 1011.62(4)	5.01	4.843	5.136 (.25 shifted from 2.0 Capital Outlay)	5.288	5.380	5.446	5.295	5.183	5.183
Maximum DLE F.S. 1011.71(1)– Compression provided up to State Wide Average	.51	.51	.498	.748 (Includes a shift of .25 from Capital Outlay)	.748	.748 F.S. 1011.71(1)	.748	.748	.748
Additional Discretionary Local Effort If per student millage generates less than \$100 – the district received funding to Provide Up to \$100 per FTE	.25	.25	.25	.0 (Note - .25 previously authorized – shifted to RLE. RLE reflects increase of .178 due to statewide value of .25 without compression)	0	0	0	0	0
Super Majority Board Approved Millage –No Cap on Value Generated. (compressed provide up to State Wide Average per FTE)	-	-	-	.25 1. Levied by Super Majority Vote of Board 2. Can be used in either Operational or Capital Outlay. 3. If used in Capital Outlay – the district does not receive Compression to State Wide Average if value of .25 is less than State Wide Average).	.25 1. Levied by Super Majority Vote of Board 2. Must have referendum to continue into 11-12. 3. Can be used in either Operational or Capital Outlay. 4. If used in Capital Outlay – the district does not receive Compression to State Wide Average if value of .25 is less than State Wide Average).	0 1. Only School Districts where the .25 was approved by Referendum in Nov. of 2010 may continue to levy the .25 millage for 11-12 and 12-13. F.S. 1011.71(3)	0 1. Only School Districts where the .25 was approved by Referendum in Nov. of 2010 may continue to levy the .25 millage for 11-12 and 12-13. F.S. 1011.71(3)	No Change	No Change
Total Millage in FEFP	5.77	5.603	5.884	6.286	6.378	6.294	6.043	5.931	5.931
Capital Outlay Millage Authority F.S. 1011.71(2)	2.0	2.0	1.75 (.25 shifted to RLE)	1.50 (.25 shifted to DLE)	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50
Special Facility Districts Required Payback (F.S. 1013.64(2)(a)) from Capital Outlay Millage (F.S. 1011.71(2))	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5

Value of School Ad Valorem Millage for 1.5 mills

Statewide Total Value of 1.5 Mill	\$ 2,075,439,212
--	-------------------------

School District	Value of 1.5 Mill Levy	School District	Value of 1.5 Mill Levy
Miami-Dade	299,076,742	Santa Rosa	12,453,185
Broward	202,691,449	Flagler	11,006,889
Palm Beach	198,671,398	Sumter	10,994,913
Orange	129,517,303	Nassau	10,582,277
Hillsborough	101,348,903	Highlands	7,700,266
Collier	90,419,112	Putnam	5,669,504
Pinellas	90,280,824	Columbia	3,938,145
Lee	85,096,730	Franklin	2,932,875
Duval	82,244,009	Levy	2,847,261
Sarasota	63,024,612	Hendry	2,682,607
Brevard	41,783,079	Okeechobee	2,532,901
Volusia	40,289,661	Suwannee	2,409,233
Polk	39,915,774	Jackson	2,393,501
Seminole	39,612,057	Hardee	2,346,184
Manatee	38,096,886	DeSoto	2,295,507
Pasco	33,703,004	Gulf	2,273,114
Monroe	29,185,207	Gadsden	2,242,789
St. Johns	28,116,847	Wakulla	1,874,939
Martin	27,167,304	Taylor	1,868,785
Osceola	26,629,242	Bradford	1,381,413
Lake	26,082,305	Washington	1,380,964
Marion	24,757,407	Baker	1,317,294
St. Lucie	24,073,730	Hamilton	1,123,618
Bay	23,125,059	Madison	1,001,709
Escambia	22,992,435	Gilchrist	983,327
Leon	22,936,683	Jefferson	880,327
Okaloosa	22,195,260	Glades	880,150
Indian River	21,015,634	Dixie	752,776
Charlotte	20,152,324	Holmes	695,700
Alachua	19,082,428	Calhoun	608,397
Walton	16,814,661	Union	374,517
Citrus	15,132,350	Liberty	371,115
Clay	13,855,996	Lafayette	357,209
Hernando	13,199,405		

Value of School Ad Valorem Millage for .5 mills

Statewide Total Value of .5 Mill	\$691,813,071
---	----------------------

School District	Value of .5 Mill Levy	School District	Value of .5 Mill Levy
Miami-Dade	99,692,247	Santa Rosa	4,151,062
Broward	67,563,816	Flagler	3,668,963
Palm Beach	66,223,799	Sumter	3,664,971
Orange	43,172,434	Nassau	3,527,426
Hillsborough	33,782,968	Highlands	2,566,755
Collier	30,139,704	Putnam	1,889,835
Pinellas	30,093,608	Columbia	1,312,715
Lee	28,365,577	Franklin	977,625
Duval	27,414,670	Levy	949,087
Sarasota	21,008,204	Hendry	894,202
Brevard	13,927,693	Okeechobee	844,300
Volusia	13,429,887	Suwannee	803,078
Polk	13,305,258	Jackson	797,834
Seminole	13,204,019	Hardee	782,061
Manatee	12,698,962	DeSoto	765,169
Pasco	11,234,335	Gulf	757,705
Monroe	9,728,402	Gadsden	747,596
St. Johns	9,372,282	Wakulla	624,980
Martin	9,055,768	Taylor	622,928
Osceola	8,876,414	Bradford	460,471
Lake	8,694,102	Washington	460,321
Marion	8,252,469	Baker	439,098
St. Lucie	8,024,577	Hamilton	374,539
Bay	7,708,353	Madison	333,903
Escambia	7,664,145	Gilchrist	327,776
Leon	7,645,561	Jefferson	293,442
Okaloosa	7,398,420	Glades	293,383
Indian River	7,005,211	Dixie	250,925
Charlotte	6,717,441	Holmes	231,900
Alachua	6,360,809	Calhoun	202,799
Walton	5,604,887	Union	124,839
Citrus	5,044,117	Liberty	123,705
Clay	4,618,665	Lafayette	119,070
Hernando	4,399,802		

HISTORY OF SPARSITY FUNDING

Although the Sparsity Supplement was one of the original components included in the design of the FEFP in 1973, funding was not appropriated until 1983. Each year since 1983, the Legislature has made an appropriation for the Sparsity Formula.

FY 83-84	\$3,000,000
FY 84-85	\$4,726,287
FY 85-86	\$9,280,324
FY 86-87	\$15,000,000
FY 87-88	\$27,710,000
FY 88-89	\$30,000,000
FY 89-90	\$12,500,000
FY 90-91	\$15,000,000
FY 91-92	\$20,000,000
FY 92-93	\$20,000,000
FY 93-94	\$20,000,000
FY 94-95	\$20,000,000
FY 95-96	\$20,000,000
FY 96-97	\$20,000,000
FY 97-98	\$20,000,000
FY 98-99	\$30,000,000
FY 99-00	\$30,000,000
FY 00-01	\$31,000,000
FY 01-02	\$31,000,000
FY 02-03	\$31,000,000
FY 03-04	\$31,000,000
FY 04-05	\$31,000,000
FY 05-06	\$35,000,000
FY 06-07	\$35,000,000
FY 07-08	\$39,191,698
FY 08-09	\$39,191,698
FY 08-09-Rev. 3 rd calc.	\$38,345,157
FY 09-10	\$35,822,046
FY 10-11	\$35,754,378
FY 11-12	\$35,754,378
FY 12-13	\$35,754,378
FY 13-14	\$45,754,378
FY 14-15	\$47,131,680 * Governors Rec.

Regional Consortium Service Organizations

Funding History

2014-15 - \$1,445,390 - Govs. Rec. – Jan. 29, 2014
2013-14 Funding - \$1,445,390
2012-13 Funding - \$1,445,390 Vetoed
2011-12 Funding - \$1,445,390
2010-11 Funding - \$1,611,465
2009-10 Funding - \$1,611,465
2008-09 Funding - \$1,660,750
2007-08 Funding - \$1,750,000
2006-07 Funding - \$1,750,000
2005-06 Funding - \$1,700,000
2004-05 Funding - \$825,000
2003-04 Funding - \$775,000
2002-03 Funding - \$775,000
2001-02 Funding - \$750,000
2000-01 Funding - \$750,000
1999-00 Funding - \$750,000
1998-99 Funding - \$750,000
1997-98 Funding - \$750,000
1996-97 Funding - \$750,000
1995-96 Funding - \$550,000
1994-95 Funding - \$750,000

SSDCC Members and Board of Directors – FY 2013-14

The SSDCC Board is the Superintendent and a School Board member designated by the School Board

School District	Supt	School Board Liaison
Baker	Sherrie Raulerson - Exec. Comm.	Patricia Weeks
Bradford	Chad Farnsworth	Stacey Creighton
Calhoun	Ralph Yoder	Danny Ryals
Columbia	Terry Huddleston	Stephanie Finnell
DeSoto	Karyn Gary	Ronny R. Allen
Dixie	Mark Rains	Tim Alexander - Executive Committee
Flagler	Janet Valentine – Appointed	Colleen Conklin
Gadsden	Reginald James – Exec. Committee	Judge Helms
Gilchrist	Robert Rankin	Cloud Haley
Glades	Scott Bass – Executive Committee	Mike Pressley
Gulf	Jim Norton	Linda Wood
Hamilton	Thomas Moffses	Johnny Bullard
Hardee	David Durastanti	Paul Samuels - Executive Committee
Hendry	Paul Puletti	Ray Clinard
Highlands	Wally Cox	Andy Tuck
Holmes	Eddie Dixon	Shirley Owens
Jackson	Steve Benton, Sr.	Charlotte Gardner - Executive Committee
Jefferson	Al Cooksey	Sandra Samuels
Lafayette	Robby Edwards	Amanda Tidwell Hickman
Levy	Robert Hastings	Paige Brookins
Liberty	Tony Anderson	Kyle Peddie
Madison	Doug Brown	Karen Pickles
Monroe	Mark Porter – Appt.*	Robin Smith-Martin
Okeechobee	Ken Kenworthy – Appointed	Joe Arnold
Putnam	Phyllis Criswell	Nikki Cummings
Sumter	Rick Shirley – SSDCC Chair	Kathie Joiner
Suwannee	Jerry Scarborough	Jerry Taylor - Executive Committee
Taylor	Paul Dyal - SSDCC Vice Chair	Brenda Carlton
Union	Carlton Faulk	Becky Raulerson
Wakulla	Robert Pearce	Becky Cook
Walton	Carlene Anderson	
Washington	Joe Taylor	Vann Brock
Regional Consortium Members		
Heartland Ed. Consortia		
North East Fla Ed. Consortia		
Panhandle Area Ed. Consortia		
<i>Additional Entities Eligible for Membership</i>		
<i>Districts > 24,000 Students</i>		<i>Lab Schools receiving Sparsity</i>
<i>Charlotte</i>		<i>FAMU – DRS School</i>
<i>Citrus</i>		<i>FSU – DRS School</i>
<i>Franklin</i>		<i>UF – PK Yonge Lab School</i>
<i>Hernando</i>		
<i>Indian River</i>		
<i>Martin</i>		
<i>Nassau</i>		

SSDCC Members for 2013-2014

Baker County School District
Bradford County School District
Calhoun County School District
Columbia County School District
DeSoto County School District
Dixie County School District
Flagler County School District
Gadsden County School District
Gilchrist County School District
Glades County School District
Gulf County School District
Hamilton County School District
Hardee County School District
Heartland Educational Consortium
Hendry County School District
Highlands County School District
Holmes County School District
Jackson County School District
Jefferson County School District
Lafayette County School District
Levy County School District
Liberty County School District
Madison County School District
Monroe County School District
North East Florida Educational Consortium
Okeechobee County School District
Panhandle Area Education Consortium
Putnam County School District
Sumter County School District
Suwannee County School District
Taylor County School District
Union School District
Wakulla County School District
Walton County School District
Washington County School District

For Additional Information call 850-224-3180 Or Send an E-mail to:

Cdoolin@nettally.com